

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,055	09/28/2000	Vijay K. Gajjala	MSFT-0180/138333.1 7632		
;	7590 04/07/2004		EXAMINER		
Steven H Me	Steven H Meyer			LE, DAVID Q	
Woodcock Wa	shburn Kurtz				
Mackiewicz & Norris LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Liberty Place 46th Floor			3621		
Philadelphia,					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •			
	Application No.	lication No. Applicant(s)	
./ " Advisory Action	09/671,055	GAJJALA ET AL.	
·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Q Le	3621	MW
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of th	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	te of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	of extension and the corresponding amo f the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final	opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c)       ★ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	· · · ——	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-66.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:		JAMES P. THARLI IPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTI	EXAMINER
		DQL	

J 1.4

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are similar to those presented in previous amendment and have been duly adressed in final office action.